

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTAIENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,727	02/11/2002	Andrew Arthur Hunter	30005967-2	7604
7590 06/17/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER NGUYEN, TAI T	
			2632	\overline{q}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			_					
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/073,7	27	HUNTER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Tai T. Ng	uyen	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN unsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common serior for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum starte to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ending the interest of th	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 January 200	04.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	,_							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-77</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>68-77</u> is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>27-38,56,58-63,66 and 67</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>39-55,57,64 and 65</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
, .=	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 5, lines 16-17 and 19, "warning device 32" should read as ----warning device 34----.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 27-33, 36, 58-59, and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Everett, Jr. et al. (US 4,857,912).

Regarding claim 27, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose a detection system (figure 1) for detecting the presence of an image capture device (80) in the vicinity of a person, the detection system comprising an indicator unit in the form of a transceiver (96) installed in or on the image capture device (figure 1) being configured to emit an indicator signal to the person to indicate the presence of the image capture device in the vicinity of the person (col. 10, lines 30-46).

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 28, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the indicator unit emitting the indicator signal in response to an external stimulus (col. 10, lines 30-46).

Regarding claim 29, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the image capture device including at least one sensor (12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 30, 78, 84; figure 1) arranged to sense an external stimulus.

Regarding claim 30, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the use of an acoustic sensor (84) used with the detection system (figure 1).

Regarding claim 31, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the use of an motion detector (16) used with the detection system (figure 1).

Regarding claim 32, Everett, Jr. et al. further disclose a remote detection unit (figure 1) having a radio transceiver (102), wherein the sensor of the image capture device being a radio receiver (96) arranged to receive a query signal transmitted by the radio transmitter of the remote detection unit when the remote detection unit is within a predetermined range of the image capture device (col. 10, lines 30-62).

Regarding claim 33, Everett, Jr. et al. further disclose the indicator unit being a warning device (col. 6, lines 1-29) and the indicator signal being an alarm signal (103, figure 1).

Regarding claim 36, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the indicator unit being a radio transmitter and the indicator signal being a radio signal (figure 1).

Regarding claims 58-59, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the image capture device being a video camera (80).

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 61-63, the claimed method steps are inherent in the product structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34-35, 37-38, 60, and 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everett, Jr. et al. (US 4,857,912).

Regarding claims 34-35, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the alarm signal (103) being provided by the detection system. Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the alarm signal specifically being an audible or visual signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the alarm signal could have been visual/audible depending on the operating environment and necessary alert required in order to indicate the alarm condition.

Regarding claim 37, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the radio transmitter being arranged to transmit periodic radio signals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use periodic signals with the radio transmitter in order to prevent overlap and reduce power consumption.

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 38, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the radio transmitter being arranged to transmit continuous radio signals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use continuous signals with the radio transmitter in order to provide constant monitoring.

Regarding claim 60, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the explicit disclosure of the device being used in a public area. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device in a public area in order to detect people in the area.

Regarding claims 66-67, the claimed method steps would have been necessitated by the product structure.

6. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everett, Jr. et al. in view of Schieke et al. (US 2002/0075134).

Regarding claim 56, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the transceiver/transmitter using infrared transmissions. Schieke et al. teach the use of infrared transmission between an electronic device and base station (paragraph 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use infrared transmissions for the device of Everett, Jr. et al. for the purpose of reducing spurious transmissions.

Art Unit: 2632

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 39-55, 57, and 64-65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 68-77 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-77 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2632

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 12, 2004 Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632